

This letter concerns the Atlantic Coast Pipeline proposal: Docket # PF 15-6-000

Katherine P. Versluys  
Acorn Inn, Inc.  
P. O. Box 431  
Nellysford, VA 22958  
March 18, 2015

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Bose,

My husband and I have run Acorn Inn (a Bed and Breakfast and Retreat Center) in Nelson County, since 1987. We are located on one of several potential routes for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline. I wrote in January to tell you that we are strongly opposed to this pipeline, which would rip an industrial corridor through our beloved county and land we cherish.

If this pipeline is approved by your Commission, no matter whether a horrific, worst-case scenario were to happen or not, it cannot be denied that, under the best circumstances, our county would gain a 125 ft-wide scar across the landscape; the noise of maintenance helicopters, drones, and gas-detecting sniffer trucks; and the toxicity of chemicals needed to keep the corridor cleared---into perpetuity.

Worse, none of us living within the blast zone would ever be able to have full confidence in our own safety. Though less visual, the nightmarish fear of human or robotic error will always be lurking.

Our diverse guests come to Nelson County for many reasons, but one thing they all have in common: No one has ever come to our mountains seeking to bed down in an industrial corridor.

I did some homework and googled each of the five commissioners on the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and I am humbled by the education and experience that each brings to the board. Plenty of past work in nuclear policy, on electrical grids, and directorships in the oil and gas industry, all undoubtedly necessary~~ But oddly, I didn't see much experience or expertise in Solar or Wind energy.

I've read in the news lately that there is growing "push-back" in the public against what seems to be a predictability in FERC decisions, especially regarding natural gas pipelines--- and with all due respect---I would humbly suggest that the lack of representation of alternative energy expertise on the Commission is the problem.

The name **Federal ENERGY Regulatory Commission** implies to me all types of Energy. Otherwise, maybe the Commission should be renamed to more accurately describe what it does...

Maybe the public would understand better if the name were changed to: **Federal Petroleum Permission Commission...**