

Senator Tim Kaine
388 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Senator Kaine:

Thank you for asking the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for additional public meetings and an extension of the official scoping period for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline. As you know, FERC declined both requests, thus preventing a majority of concerned citizens from providing public input. FERC's lack of interest in protecting even an appearance of public participation in this critical federal regulatory process is further evidence that we need your help to bring accountability to an otherwise broken system.

Despite the insufficient time allotted by FERC for the scoping period, several important groups were able to make valuable submissions. Environmental law firms; national, state, and local government agencies; and conservation organizations all provided detailed concerns and corrections that would go a long way toward fixing the most harmful aspects of the proposed plan—if it cannot be terminated all together.

I ask you to demand that FERC follow the comprehensive recommendations provided by numerous authorities and impacted citizens during the scoping period comment time. Specifically, FERC should be required to:

- Prepare a **regional** Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) **that analyzes the cumulative and interrelated needs and effects of all the pipelines** proposed to go through the Allegheny/Blue Ridge region, including the ACP, Mountain Valley Pipeline, and the Allegheny Connector.
- **Define and exhaustively analyze a reasonable array of alternative routes, as well as overall alternatives to the pipeline itself, focusing on the purported need it is supposed to fulfill.** Dominion's current "alternative routes," announced end-February, are merely minor variations on the single original route—which itself was an unexamined straight line between start and finish. The range of alternatives must include increased energy efficiency, expanded use of renewable energy, expanded use of existing infrastructure, construction of new pipelines within existing rights of way—and finally, the option of not building this pipeline at all.
- Conduct a rigorous and balanced economic cost-benefit analysis, delineating the costs of losses in ecosystem services, property values, and diminished economic opportunities throughout the lifecycle of the project.
- Reject any route that would disturb National or State Forests; the Allegheny/Blue Ridge Mountain region; agritourism zones; rare or endangered species; critical streams, waterways, or wetlands.

It is unjust for the ACP, LLC—a private business venture—to be anointed with the extraordinary power of eminent domain to take private property from taxpaying citizens (your constituents). This pipeline will do enormous harm to the unwilling communities and individual property owners it is being forced on. We depend on you, our elected representative, to ensure the regulatory process is thorough, equitable, and moral—and that all harms are weighed against the professed benefits.

Signed: _____

Printed Name: _____

Address: _____

Senator Mark Warner
475 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Senator Warner:

Thank you for asking the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for additional public meetings and an extension of the official scoping period for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline. As you know, FERC declined both requests, thus preventing a majority of concerned citizens from providing public input. FERC's lack of interest in protecting even an appearance of public participation in this critical federal regulatory process is further evidence that we need your help to bring accountability to an otherwise broken system.

Despite the insufficient time allotted by FERC for the scoping period, several important groups were able to make valuable submissions. Environmental law firms; national, state, and local government agencies; and conservation organizations all provided detailed concerns and corrections that would go a long way toward fixing the most harmful aspects of the proposed plan—if it cannot be terminated all together.

I ask you to demand that FERC follow the comprehensive recommendations provided by numerous authorities and impacted citizens during the scoping period comment time. Specifically, FERC should be required to:

- Prepare a **regional** Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) **that analyzes the cumulative and interrelated needs and effects of all the pipelines** proposed to go through the Allegheny/Blue Ridge region, including the ACP, Mountain Valley Pipeline, and the Allegheny Connector.
- **Define and exhaustively analyze a reasonable array of alternative routes, as well as overall alternatives to the pipeline itself, focusing on the purported need it is supposed to fulfill.** Dominion's current "alternative routes," announced end-February, are merely minor variations on the single original route—which itself was an unexamined straight line between start and finish. The range of alternatives must include increased energy efficiency, expanded use of renewable energy, expanded use of existing infrastructure, construction of new pipelines within existing rights of way—and finally, the option of not building this pipeline at all.
- Conduct a rigorous and balanced economic cost-benefit analysis, delineating the costs of losses in ecosystem services, property values, and diminished economic opportunities throughout the lifecycle of the project.
- Reject any route that would disturb National or State Forests; the Allegheny/Blue Ridge Mountain region; agritourism zones; rare or endangered species; critical streams, waterways, or wetlands.

It is unjust for the ACP, LLC—a private business venture—to be anointed with the extraordinary power of eminent domain to take private property from taxpaying citizens (your constituents). This pipeline will do enormous harm to the unwilling communities and individual property owners it is being forced on. We depend on you, our elected representative, to ensure the regulatory process is thorough, equitable, and moral—and that all harms are weighed against the professed benefits.

Signed: _____

Printed Name: _____

Address: _____

Governor Terry McAuliffe
1111 East Broad Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Governor McAuliffe:

I was greatly heartened recently to hear you say in an interview that you favored picking the “best route for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline” for homeowners and the pristine environmental areas of Virginia.

As it happens, the just-completed ACP scoping period for the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) yielded excellent suggestions from major organizations and government agencies that would help the route do exactly that. Environmental law firms; national, state, and local government agencies; and conservation organizations all provided detailed concerns and corrections that would go a long way toward fixing the most harmful aspects of the proposed plan—if it cannot be terminated all together.

I respectfully suggest that you make good on your desire to protect homeowners and the environment by demanding that FERC follow the comprehensive recommendations provided by numerous authorities and impacted citizens during the scoping period comment time. Specifically, FERC should be required to:

- Prepare a **regional** Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) **that analyzes the cumulative and interrelated needs and effects of all the pipelines** proposed to go through the Allegheny/Blue Ridge region, including the ACP, Mountain Valley Pipeline, and the Allegheny Connector.
- **Define and exhaustively analyze a reasonable array of alternative routes, as well as overall alternatives to the pipeline itself, focusing on the purported need it is supposed to fulfill.** Dominion’s current “alternative routes,” announced end-February, are merely minor variations on the single original route—which itself was an unexamined straight line between start and finish. This range of alternatives must include increased energy efficiency, expanded use of renewable energy, expanded use of existing infrastructure, construction of new pipelines in existing rights of way—and finally, the option of not building this pipeline at all.
- Conduct a rigorous and balanced economic cost-benefit analysis, delineating the costs of losses in ecosystem services, property values, and diminished economic opportunities throughout the lifecycle of the project.
- Reject any route that would disturb National or State Forests; the Allegheny/Blue Ridge Mountain region; agritourism zones; rare or endangered species; critical streams, waterways, or wetlands.

It is unjust for the ACP, LLC—a private business venture—to be anointed with the extraordinary power of eminent domain to take private property from taxpaying citizens (your constituents). This pipeline will do enormous harm to the unwilling communities and individual property owners it is being forced on. We depend on you, our elected representative, to ensure the regulatory process is thorough, equitable, and moral—and that all harms are weighed against the professed benefits.

Signed: _____

Printed Name: _____

Address: _____

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: Atlantic Coast Pipeline, Docket #PF15-6-000

Ms. Bose,

Despite the insufficient time FERC allotted for the scoping period for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline, several important groups were able to make valuable submissions. Environmental law firms; national, state, and local government agencies; and conservation organizations all provided detailed concerns and corrections that would go a long way toward fixing the most harmful aspects of the proposed plan—if you do not terminate it all together.

FERC should follow the comprehensive recommendations provided by numerous authorities and impacted citizens during the scoping period comment time. Specifically, I urge you to:

- Prepare a **regional** Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) ***that analyzes the cumulative and interrelated needs and effects of all the pipelines*** proposed to go through the Allegheny/Blue Ridge region, including the ACP, Mountain Valley Pipeline, and the Allegheny Connector.
- ***Define and exhaustively analyze a reasonable array of alternative routes, as well as overall alternatives to the pipeline itself, focusing on the purported need it is supposed to fulfill.*** Dominion’s current “alternative routes,” announced end-February, are merely minor variations on the single original route—which itself was an unexamined straight line between start and finish. This range of alternatives must include increased energy efficiency, expanded use of renewable energy, expanded use of existing infrastructure, construction of new pipelines in existing rights of way—and finally, the option of not building this pipeline at all.
- Conduct a rigorous and balanced economic cost-benefit analysis, delineating the costs of losses in ecosystem services, property values, and diminished economic opportunities throughout the lifecycle of the project.
- Reject any route that would disturb National or State Forests; the Allegheny/Blue Ridge Mountain region; agritourism zones; rare or endangered species; critical streams, waterways, or wetlands.

It is unjust for the ACP, LLC—a private business venture—to be anointed with the extraordinary power of eminent domain to take private property from taxpaying citizens. This pipeline will do enormous harm to the unwilling communities and individual property owners it is being forced on. We depend on you, the regulatory agency charged with this responsibility, to ensure that all harms are weighed against the professed benefits.

Signed: _____

Printed Name: _____

Address: _____
